

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www waylo gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/599,469	06/05/2008	Yasuyuki Muramatsu	90606.181/ta	9451
54071 YAMAHA	7590 06/06/20	1	EXAMINER	
C/O KEATIN	G & BENNETT, LLP	WANG, E	WANG, EUGENIA	
1800 Alexand SUITE 200	er Bell Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Reston, VA 20	0191		1726	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/06/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com sfunk@kbiplaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• •		
10/599,469	MURAMATSU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
EUGENIA WANG	1726	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- for Reply

Period for Reply		,	
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specific Failure to reply within the set or	ER, FROM THE MAILING DATE llable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). mailing date of this communication. ed above, the maximum statutory period will appr extended period for reply will, by statute, caus later than three months after the mailing date	SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed by and will crapte SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of the application to become ABANDONED (36 U.S. C.§ 133), of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status			
2a)☐ This action is FIN 3)☐ Since this applica	tion is in condition for allowance	ion is non-final. except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above of 5) Claim(s) is 6) Claim(s) is 7) Claim(s) is	/are rejected.		
Application Papers			
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not r Replacement drawi	equest that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correction is	nd or b) objected to by the Examiner. sing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119		
a) All b) Some 1. Certified co 2. Certified co 3. Copies of the application	e * c) None of: pies of the priority documents have pies of the pi	ve been received in Application No documents have been received in this National Stage CT Rule 17.2(a)).	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pai	(PTO-892) tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

US	Patent and	Trademark	Offic
PT	OL-326 (Rev. 08-	06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Thotics of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/599,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 1726

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) s 1-16, drawn to a fuel cell system.

Group II, claim(s) 17-28, drawn to a control method for a fuel cell.

2. The groups of inventions listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I's special technical feature is drawn to the system with a drive device (not required by Group II), while Group II's special technical feature is drawn to the specified method of operating (not required by the structure of Group I). Additionally, the International search report cites an "X" reference for claims 1 and 17, which supports the fact that groups lack a special technical feature (and thus unity).

 A telephone call was made to Stephen Funk on March 24, 2011 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Application/Control Number: 10/599,469

Art Unit: 1726

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1726

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to EUGENIA WANG whose telephone number is (571)272-

4942. The examiner can normally be reached on a flex schedule, generally 6 - 3:30

Mon. - Thurs., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

you have quotient on access to the thirds that byctom, contact the brothering

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eugenia Wang/

Examiner, Art Unit 1726